

CITY OF DANIA BEACH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT STAFF REPORT

DATE:

TO:

Robert Baldwin, City Manager
Robert Daniels, Director

VIA:

FROM:

Kristin Dion, AICP, City Planner

Corinne Lajoie, AICP, LEED Green Associate, Principal Planner

SUBJECT:

RFP 12-007 Waterfront Revitalization Plan

On January 30 of 2012, the City issued RFP 12-007 seeking statements of proposals from experienced planning firms interested in the responsibilities and opportunities involved in the development of the Dania Beach Waterfront Revitalization Plan for the rehabilitation and enhancement of the City's waterfront. The project location will encompass the easternmost portion of Dania Beach, situated between John U. Lloyd State Park to the north and the City of Hollywood to the south. The project includes the City of Dania Beach's public beach and accompanying facilities including a vegetated beach dune, a parking lot with lighting and landscaping, restroom facilities and a pier with a restaurant.

On the bid opening date, March 9th, the City Clerk received seven responses from interested planning firms which included Calvin Giordano & Associates (CGA), Metric Engineering, EDSA, Keith & Schnars, P.A., Bermello, Ajamil & Partners, Inc. (B&A), IBI Group, Inc. (IBI), and Miller Legg. After the bid opening, the proposal documents were turned over to the members of the selection committee for detailed review and ranking.

The selection committee chosen to independently review and rank the proposal documents included:

- 1. Robert Daniels, Director of Community Development.
- 2. Ronnie Navarro, City Engineer
- 3. Mark Felicitty, Assistant Director of Parks and Recreation
- 4. Tania Stevens, Purchasing & Contracts Coordinator

The selection committee met on three occasions, March 15th, March 20th, and March 29th to discuss the individual evaluations of the proposals. Ranking for each category (cost, planning, engineering, and finance) was based on a scale of 1 through 5 points. A score of 1 point indicates that the category minimally met the requirements of the RFP, 3 points means the category satisfied the RFP, and 5 points would be given if the category exceeded the requirements of the RFP. The results of the selection committee scorings and rankings are listed below:

FIRM	COST	PLANNING	ENGINEERING	FINANCE	TOTAL
Metric	14	17	17	16	64
EDSA	13	15	15	15	58
Bermello &	13	11	13	13	52
Ajamil					
Miller Legg	15	11	11	11	46
Calvin	12	12	10	12	46
Giordano &					
Associates*					
IBI	11	7	10	11	39
Keith & Schnars	6	11	12	10	39

^{*}The Calvin, Giordano & Assoc. (CGA) proposal was considered to be non-responsive because proposed engineering and surveying services fees of \$52,811.38 exceed statutory thresholds. Pursuant to SS 287.017(3)(a)1 "Professional Services", which includes engineering, architecture, landscape architecture, or registered surveying and mapping, may not exceed \$35,000 for planning or study activities.

Based on the rankings of the selection committee, the three highest ranking firms are Metric Engineering, EDSA and Bermello, Ajamil & Partners, Inc.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Consider presentations from the three highest ranking firms, Metric Engineering, EDSA and Bermello, Ajamil & Partners, Inc.